C.S.107 (4)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P.NO. 1286/2004

PETITIONER : Jose Phillip
S/o Late Phillip Joseph,
Aged about 40 years,
Occupation:Assistant Grade-II
District Treasury Officer
Jagdalpur
R/o HIG-2, Housing Colony
Bodhghat, Jagdalpur,Distt.Baster.

P.R. No. 12-15/04
Presented by Shri P.R.Pakrashi
dated ... 5-5-04 ...

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :
1) State of Chhattisgarh
Through the Principal Secretary,
Finance Department,
DKS Bhawan, Raipur (CG).

2) The Commissioner,
Treasury,Accounts & Pension,
Raipur (CG).

3) The Accountant General
Chhattisgarh, Raipur (CG).

4) The Accounts Officer,
O/o Accountant General,
Chhattisgarh,Raipur (CG).

Photo Copy
12/5/04
Clerk to A.G. Bilaspur

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

(86)

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH: BILASPUR

Single Bench: Hon'ble Shri Satish K. Agnihotri, J.

W. P. No. 1286 of 2004

Petitioner : Jose Phillip

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh & ORS

Shri Kunal Das, counsel for the Petitioner.

Shri S.K. Beriwal, counsel for the respondents No. 3 and 4.

Smt. Anju Ahuja, Deputy Government Advocate for the State.

ORAL ORDER

(5th April, 2006)

1. The petitioner was working as Assistant Grade-II and posted at District Treasury, Jagdalpur, District Bastar.

2. Pursuant to the circular dated 12.11.2003 (Annexure P-1), the petitioner appeared in the Chhattisgarh Sub-ordinate Accounts Services (part-II) Departmental examination held between 5th January, 2004 to 12th January, 2004.

3. The petitioner having failed in the said examination, has filed this petition seeking a direction that the respondent be directed to produce the answer books of all the papers of the petitioners for verification by any competent authority and to get them checked by expert to assess the factual position.

4. The petitioner has not prayed for any consequential relief. On query, as to which rule prescribed for supply of a copy of the answer sheet to the candidate, learned counsel for the petitioner is unable to show the Rules. Learned counsel fails to point out any such provisions. Even otherwise this petition is misconceived and frivolous. This relief, without there being any factual background alleging discrimination, arbitrariness or unreasonableness, cannot be granted.

5. Accordingly, the petition is dismissed, summarily at the admission stage. No order as costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge